An Approach to Evaluating the Impact of Hearing Aid Intervention

Marlene Bagatto The University of Western Ontario, London, Canada



European Pediatric Amplification Conference Istanbul, Turkey November 15, 2011



Acknowledgements

Funding Sources:

• Canadian Institutes of Health Research

- CIHR IRSC Canadian Institutes of Health Research Institute den sunte du Cano
- Vanier Canada Graduate Scholarship to Marlene Bagatto 220811CGV-204713-174463
- Frederick Banting and Charles Best Canada Graduate Scholarship to **Sheila Moodie** 200710CGD-188113-171346
- Ontario Research Fund, Early Researcher Award to Susan Scollie

Collaborators:

- Ontario Ministry of Children and Youth Services Infant Hearing Program
- Richard Seewald, Doreen Bartlett, Linda Miller, Anita Kothari
- Martyn Hyde
- April Malandrino, Christine Brown, Frances Richert, Debbie Clench
- Kelley Keene and Mary Powell



Acknowledgements

- Network of Pediatric Audiologists of Canada (Sheila Moodie):
 - Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario, Ottawa, ON
 - H.A. Leeper Speech & Hearing Clinic, University of Western Ontario, London, ON
 - Ear & Hearing Clinic, Kitchener, ON
 - Glenrose Rehabilitation Hospital, Edmonton, AB
 - Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, ON
 - Hamilton Health Sciences, Audiology, Hamilton, ON
 - Vancouver Coastal Health, Vancouver Community Audiology Centre, Vancouver, BC
 - Montreal Children's Hospital McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, QC
 - Fraser Health Authority, Langley Public Health, Langley, BC
 - Nova Scotia Hearing & Speech Centres, Halifax/Truro, NS
 - Humber River Regional Hospital, Toronto, ON
 - Deer Lodge Hearing Centre, Winnipeg, MB
 - Central Speech and Hearing Clinic, Winnipeg, MB











Version 1.0

Marlene Bagatto, Sheila Moodie, Susan Scollie 2010

Considerations for Outcome Evaluation

Target Population: Infants & young children who wear hearing aids

Good Statistical Properties

Purpose: Measure the impact of the hearing aid fitting



Clinically Feasible

Administration & Interpretation: By Audiologist

Clinically Meaningful

Community of Practice (Sheila Moodie)

- Soliciting opinions and experiences from end-users is recommended when developing outcome evaluation tools and clinical practice guidelines
 - (Graham et al, 2000; Andresen, 2000)
- Network of Pediatric Audiologists of Canada
 - Opinions were gathered regarding clinical relevance, quality, feasibility, utility, executability, acceptability, and comparative value of each tool
 - Modifications made where possible
 - Provided information about barriers and facilitators to implementation

Creating a Balance

(modified from Bhattacharyya, O. 2010)



UWO PedAMP Development

- Avoid tools that:
 - are too lengthy or complicated
 - rely on information or scoring by other professionals (e.g., standard language measures)
 - May be implemented in other parts of the Early Hearing Detection and Intervention (EHDI) program
- Include tools that:
 - have good statistical properties
 - have good clinical feasibility and utility
 - support family-centered practice
 - help you collaborate better with others
- Maximize efficiency and interpretation through:
 - Visual tools to permit rapid scoring
 - Data to support interpretation



Purpose of the UWO PedAMP

- Intended to be used with children with permanent childhood hearing impairment (PCHI) from *birth to 6 years who may or may not wear hearing aids*
- Consists of several outcome evaluation tools that aim to measure *auditory-related outcomes* in infants and young children including the following dimensions:
 - Subjective assessment of early auditory development
 - Subjective ratings of auditory performance in daily life

Contents of the UWO PedAMP

- Ontario Infant Hearing Program (OIHP) Amplification Benefit Questionnaire
- Hearing Aid Fitting Summary
- Aided Speech Intelligibility Index (SII) Normative Values
- LittlEARS Auditory Questionnaire (Tsiakpini et al, 2004)
- Parent's Evaluation of Aural/Oral Performance of Children (PEACH) (Ching & Hill, 2005)

Tool		Initial Assessment	Prefitting	Initial Fitting	30 Day Recheck	3 month Recheck	6 month Recheck	Yearly Rechecks	Event Driven
	Hearing Aid Fitting Details	×	×	\checkmark	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
come Evaluation	IHP Hearing Aid Benefit	×	×	×	×	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
	LittlEARS	√ Establish Unaided Baseline: Administer at one of these appointments			√ If score ≥27, stop LittlEARS, use PEACH.	\checkmark			
Out	PEACH	×	×	×				Ļ	\checkmark

Appointment Type (Aided)

OIHP Amplification Benefit Questionnaire

- 11-item questionnaire jointly developed by the OIHP and Child Amplification Laboratory at UWO
- 5-point rating scale for parents addressing:
 - Acceptance and use of hearing aids
 - Auditory performance for different levels of sound
 - Effectiveness of service delivery
 - Overall satisfaction
 - Final question is open-ended asking about how hearing aid services could be improved

Where to find: www.dslio.com

Hearing Aid Fitting Details

Clinical Process Outcomes

Reasons for Tracking Hearing Aid Fitting Details

- Good auditory-related outcomes infer good audibility from hearing aids
 - Important part of outcome evaluation guideline
- Clinician can determine whether *individual child's fitting* is providing a typical degree of audibility
- Provides overall reporting information for the *Early Hearing Detection and Intervention (EHDI) program as a whole*
 - Programs need measurable outcomes

Hearing Aid Fitting Details

- Real-Ear-to-Coupler Difference (RECD)
- Maximum Power Output (MPO)
- Speech Intelligibility Index (SII)
 - Soft = 55 dB SPL
 - Average = 65 dB SPL

•Proportion of speech above threshold

•Percentage value

•Not a speech recognition score

Aided SII Normative Data

Average Speech Input (65 dB SPL)

Data courtesy of

S.T. Moodie and





The LittlEARS Auditory Questionnaire

http://www.earfoundation.org.uk/shop/items/98 Other languages direct from MED-EL. Tel: +44 (0) 1226 242 874

LittleARS (Tsiakpini et al, 2004)

- Goal: to assess auditory development during first 2 years of hearing
 - Receptive auditory behaviour
 - Semantic auditory behaviour
 - Expressive vocal behaviour





Littlears

- Scoring: All 'yes' answers are added and compared to average and minimum values
- Normative data collected with 218 German-speaking families (Weichbold et al, 2005)
 - Reliable
 - Good internal consistency
 - Good discriminative ability
 - Good correlation of overall score and age of child
 - Validated in 15 languages (Coninx, et al, 2009)

External Validation of the LittlEARS® Auditory Questionnaire with English-Speaking Families of Canadian Children with Normal Hearing

Bagatto, Brown, Moodie & Scollie, 2011

International Journal of Pediatric Otorhinolaryngology Volume 75(6): 815-7

Longitudinal Intervention Study



* Clinicians followed OIHP hearing aid fitting protocol (Bagatto et al, 2010)

Analysis 1) Validation: Normal Hearing Children



Results 1) Validation: Normal Hearing Children



Age (months)



The University of Western Ontario Pediatric Audiological Monitoring Protocol (UWO PedAMP)

Bagatto, Moodie, Malandrino, Richert, Clench & Scollie

In Press Trends in Amplification





* Clinicians followed OIHP hearing aid fitting protocol (Bagatto et al, 2010)





All Profiles: Aided PCHI



Age (months)

Bagatto et al, In press, Trends in Amplification

Summary: LittlEARS

- Short questionnaire that parents and clinicians find feasible to complete
- Norms developed from normal hearing children work well
- Sensitive to medical issues
 - Require more data to characterize different patient profiles
- Useful for monitoring the progression of auditory behaviours in infants and young children
 - Normal hearing
 - PCHI but unaided
 - PCHI and aided



PEACH

The Parent's Evaluation of Aural/Oral Performance in Children (PEACH)

Diary: http://www.nal.gov.au/outcome-measures_tab_peach.shtml

Rating Scale: http://www.outcomes.nal.gov.au/LOCHI%20assessments.html



PEACH (Ching & Hill, 2005)

- Goal: to evaluate effectiveness of device for infants and children with hearing impairment
- Format: 13 item questionnaire assesses
 - hearing aid use
 - loudness discomfort
 - communication in quiet and noise
 - phone use
 - responsiveness to environmental sounds

PEACH Rating Scale

- 5-point rating scale
- Includes most of the scenarios from the Diary
- Parents think about their child's behaviour over the past week in relation to each question
 - Can be done in one appointment
 - No follow-up interview by clinician necessary
- Percentage scoring

PEACH Scoring

- No score sheet provided with PEACH, therefore, needed to develop one from existing literature and preliminary data
- Ching et al, 2005, 2008, NAL/DSL Study 2010
 - Normal hearing children achieve 90% around age 3 years
 - Hearing impaired children achieve a range
 - Ching et al, 2005 = 62%
 - Ching et al, 2008 = 66%
 - NAL/DSL Study = 80%
 - Ching, Scollie, Dillon, Seewald, et al., 2010

PEACH Score Sheet

Normal hearing children perform here (90%) by 3 yrs (Ching & Hill, 2005).







Preliminary Data: Aided PCHI



- 23 Subjects
- Typically developing
- Mean Age = 46.91 months
- Age Range = 20.63 78.40

Typically-Developing Children



Bagatto et al, In press, Trends in Amplification

Summary: PEACH

- Assesses functional auditory performance in quiet and noisy situations
 - Can compare to hearing impaired children who wear hearing aids using score sheet
- Can identify whether child is or is not performing typical auditory behaviours
- For example:
 - If noise score is poor, can discuss noise options

UWO PedAMP within an EHDI Program

- Implemented with children who may or may not wear hearing aids
- Consists of:
 - OIHP Amplification Benefit Questionnaire (aided only)
 - Hearing Aid Fitting Summary (aided only)
 - LittlEARS Auditory Questionnaire OR
 - PEACH Rating Scale

Importance of Outcome Evaluation

- Patients
 - Track and monitor
 - Involve parents result: good observers
 - Shared language
- Audiologists
 - Way to measure impact of hearing aid fitting
 - Improve efficiency and effectiveness of service delivery
 - Improve communication with families and professionals
- EHDI
 - Measure how program is doing
 - Helps describe patterns that affect children within the program

UWO PedAMP



- A guideline consisting of several outcome evaluation tools that aim to measure *auditoryrelated outcomes* in infants and young children
 - Visual tools to permit rapid scoring
 - Preliminary data to support interpretation
 - The UWO PedAMP will evolve through clinical implementation
 - Community of practice is important for success





Thank you...

bagatto@nca.uwo.ca







References

- Andresen, E. 2000. Criteria for assessing the tools of disability outcomes research, *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*, *81*, Suppl. 2, S15-S20.
- Bagatto, M, Scollie, S, Hyde, M & Seewald, R. 2010. Protocol for the provision of amplification within the Ontario Infant Hearing Program, *Int J Audiol, 49*: S70-79.
- Ching, T. & Hill, M. 2005. The Parents' Evaluation of Aural/Oral Performance of Children (PEACH) Diary, Australian Hearing.
- Ching, T. & Hill, M. 200). The parents' evaluation of aural/oral performance of children (PEACH) scale: Normative data, *J Am Acad Audiol*, 18, 220-235.
- Ching, T., Hill, M. & Dillon, H. 2008. Effect of variations in hearing-aid frequency response on real-life functional performance of children with severe or profound hearing loss, *Int J Audiol*, *47*, 461-475.
- Ching, T, Scollie, S, Dillon, H, Seewald, R, Britton, L, Steinberg, J, et al, 2010. Evaluation of the NAL-NL1 and the DSL v4.1 prescriptions for children: Paired-comparison intelligibility judgements and functional performance ratings, *Int J Audiol, 49*: S35-S48.
- Graham, I., Logan, J., Harrison, M.B., Straus, S.E., Tetroe, J., Caswell, W., et al. 2006. Lost in knowledge translation: Time for a map? *J Contin Educ Health Prof,* 26, 13-24.
- Tsiakpini, L, Weichbold, V, Kuehn-Inacker, H, Coninx, F, D'Haese, P, Almadin, S. 2004. LittlEARS Auditory Questionnaire, MED-EL, Innsbruck, Austria.
- Weichbold, V, Tsiakpini, L, Coninx, F, &D'Haese, P. 2005. Development of a parent questionnaire for assessment of auditory behaviour of infants up to two years of age, *Laryngo-Rhino-Otol*, 84: 328-334.
- Coninx, F, Weichbold, V, Tsiakpini, L, Autrique, E, Bescond, G, Tamas, L. 2009. Validation of the LittlEARS[®] Auditory Questionnaire in children with normal hearing, *Int J Pediatr Otorhi*, 73, 1761-1768.